

MINUTES
DECEPTION DETECTION EXAMINERS BOARD MEETING
April 19, 2006 - 9:00 A.M.
Room 428 - Fourth Floor - Heber Wells Building
160 East 300 South, Salt Lake City, Utah

CONVENED: 9:15 a.m.

ADJOURNED: 11:00 a.m.

PRESENT:

Clyde Ormond, Bureau Manager
Bonny Offermann, Board Secretary

Board Members:

Brent Bullock	Will Draughon
Richard Triplett	John Pickup
Lon Brian	C.Y. Roby

ABSENT:

None

GUESTS:

Donna Taylor, Qwest for Truth
Gordon Barland
Ray Walker

TOPICS FOR DISCUSSION:

DECISIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

ADMINISTRATIVE BUSINESS:

Oath of Office

Mr. Ormond swore in Mr. Draughon and informed him of the duties and responsibilities of Board members.

Oath of Office

Mr. Ormond swore in Mr. Roby and informed him of the duties and responsibilities of Board members.

Appoint a New Chairman

Mr. Brian moved to nominate Mr. Pickup, Mr. Bullock seconded the motion, the motion carried unanimously.

Approve minutes

Mr. Triplett moved to approve the minutes of the April 2005 Board meeting; Mr. Draughon seconded the motion, the motion carried unanimously.

2006 GRAMA Bills Legislative Highlights

Mr. Ormond reviewed and informed the Board of the new outline for board meetings. In addition, Mr. Ormond informed the Board of the agenda items to be discussed, and cut off time for things to be added to the agenda in order to be discussed at Board meetings.

NEW BUSINESS:

Review Deception Detection
Change Proposal

Mr. Pickup addressed the Board about the proposed Rule changes. He informed the Board of the definitions in the Rule Change Proposal, described license examiners, proposed eliminating prohibition of testing pregnant females, and the changed terms in the current rule.

Mr. Walker discussed problems with the proposal and suggested changes that should be Mr. Ormond requested for Mr. Pickup to send him the ethics standards from the APA (American Polygraph Association), and the AAPP (American Assoc of Police Polygraphists). Mr. Draughon moved to adopt the current changes in the rules; Mr. Brian seconded the motion, the motion carried unanimously.

CORRESPONDENCE:

Gordon Barland Letter
re: conducting RI tests

Mr. Barland addressed the board regarding relevant and irrelevant testing. Mr. Barland informed the Board about the key differences between relevant and irrelevant testing.

Mr. Draughon indicated that generating a standard of practice regarding relevant testing might be in order. Mr. Bullock moved to approve Mr. Barland to use the relevant and irrelevant testing technique, and that the Board review item 6 in the Unprofessional Conduct section as it relates to the APA guidelines for unprofessional conduct. Mr. Draughon seconded the motion, the motion carried unanimously.

Gordon Barland Letter
re: requirement for examinees to sign
polygraph charts

Mr. Ormond informed the Board that the language in R156-64-502 (21)(a) would be changed to include “analog polygraph instruments”

Gordon Barland Letter
re: 1996 EEOC ruling on testing pregnant females

The Board reviewed Mr. Barland’s support to remove prohibitions of testing pregnant females.

Quest 4 Truth, LLC Polygraph Services

Ms. Taylor addressed the Board regarding Mr. Barland’s internship and informed the Board of his professional history within the Deception Detection profession. Mr. Ormond informed the Board of the rules regarding licensure of Deception Detection Examiners.

Mr. Ormond then informed Mr. Barland that he should reapply for licensure as an Examiner, and include the internship information. Moreover,

Mr. Ormond informed the Board that they would have to review the requirements of licensure and Mr. Barland's qualifications of licensure. Mr. Brian moved to accept Mr. Barland's application language, subject to review and approval of the Attorney General's Office. Mr. Bullock seconded the motion, the motion carried unanimously.

DISCUSSION:

Voice Stress Review

Mr. Triplett informed the Board there is a need to further regulate Voice Stress Examinations. He stated he is aware of at least one person who uses Voice Stress in Private Practice.

Mr. Ormond discussed the current statutory requirements and stated to receive further authority to regulate Voice Stress the Profession would need to go to the legislature for a change.

Mr. Pickup indicated that there is a great deal of controversy regarding the validity of stress voice review and standards of practice.

A discussion ensued regarding licensure for Voice Stress Examinations. The Association should be contacted to review this issue

Mr. Walker addressed the Board and elaborated on the importance of rule making proposals and what needs to be considered at the legislature. The Board recommended this issue be placed on the next Board Agenda.

Agenda Item for Next Meeting

Voice Stress Review

NEXT MEETING:

August 16, 2006

DATE APPROVED

CHAIRPERSON, DECEPTION DETECTION
EXAMINER BOARD

DATE APPROVED

BUREAU MANAGER, DIVISION OF
OCCUPATIONAL & PROFESSIONAL
LICENSING